## T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

DATE:	26-Aug-05	APPL. S.N.:	10/007,046
TO: EXAM	MATTHEWS, JAMES		2153
FROM:	<del>- Vines, Yolanda</del> PARALEGAL SPECIALIST	RETURN <sup>-</sup>	THIS MEMO TO:
SUBJECT	Decision on Terminal Disclaimer (T.D.) filed:	15-Aug-05	
paragr please APPLI	CUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the resultable identified by this informal memo in your next Office activate me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFOCANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION to me. THANK YOU.	on to notify applicant of the T.D. If yo DRMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. I	ou disagree or have any questions, T MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO
The '	T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see ¶14.23).		
The	The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see ¶ 14.24):		
	The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there a (see ¶ 14.26.07).	any authorization in the application file for	or the use of a deposit account
	The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.01).		
	The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01).		
	The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see ¶ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.02).		
	The person who signed the T.D.:		
	is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01).		
	has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28).		
	is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶¶ 14.29 &	c possible 14.29.02).	
	No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see § 14.30).		
	The T.D. is not signed (see $\P$ ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03).		
	The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see ¶ 14.32).		
	The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).		
	The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see $\P$ ¶ 14.26,	14.27.02 or 14.26.03).	
	Other:		
	Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already aut	horized, credit refund to deposit account	and do not check this item.
I have appr	opriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclain	ner filed in this case.	
Ex. Initials:	Date:		Log Date:
Special Pro	onram Database Version 2.1 (Rev. 5/98)	Routing Slip Printed On:	Friday August 26, 2005 7:17:12